

MINUTES

**UTAH
ONLINE PRESCRIBING, DISPENSING
AND FACILITATION
LICENSING BOARD MEETING**

April 12, 2011

**Room 474 – 4th Floor – 9:00 A.M.
Heber Wells Building
Salt Lake City, UT 84111**

CONVENED: 9:02 A.M.

ADJOURNED: 12:03 pm

Bureau Manager:
Board Secretary:

Noel Taxin
Karen McCall

Board Members Present:

Mark N. Bair, MD, Chairperson
Kathleen Littlefield, R.Ph.
Roger Fitzpatrick, R.Ph.
Lt. Michael Ross
Jennifer R. Korb
Peter Ax

Board Members Absent:

John W. Bachman, MD

Guests:

Kevin Marino, Attorney
Paul Rogers
William Stilling, Attorney

DOPL Staff Present:

Mark B. Steinagel, Division Director
Karl Perry, Assistant Attorney General
Francine Giani, Department Director
Thad Lavar, Department Deputy Director
Julie Bolinder, Licensing Specialist

TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

DECISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ADMINISTRATIVE BUSINESS:

MINUTES:

The minutes from the March 29, 2011 Board meeting were read.

Mr. Fitzpatrick made a motion to approve the minutes with minor revisions. Ms. Littlefield seconded the

motion. **The Board vote was unanimous.**

APPOINTMENTS:

9:20 am

Complete Review of Secure Medical, Inc.
Applications

Secure Medical, Inc. Joel Korsunsky, Dr. Michael Rapp, Shaun Spriggs, Pharmacist and Rei Carvalho, met telephonically with the Board.

Re-review & Re-discuss Branching
Questionnaire Presentation to Determine if it
Meets Requirements as per 58-83-302(1)(f)
and 58-83-305

Mr. Korsunsky informed the Board that William (Bill) Stilling is legal counsel for Secure Medical, Inc. and was given full authority to act on behalf of Secure Medical, Inc. He stated Secure Medical, Inc. made the requested changes to the assessment tool/branching questionnaire and are prepared to present the information online with the Board.

Mr. Steinagel summarized the agenda has listed an update on fulfillment center issues. He stated there is nothing to update the Board regarding that issue at this time other than if someone wants to submit information they are welcome to submit it directly to him. He stated Mr. Ax also brought up a possible complaint and he asked that the issue not be brought up to the Board or discussed by the Board as possible concerns/violations do not go before the Board prior to an investigation as the Board would act as the jury if there is a formal hearing. He explained the Board would be recused if they hear the details prior to an outcome of an investigation.

Mr. Stilling requested a few minutes prior to the presentation and discussion. He read a statement requesting Mr. Ax to be recused from the discussion and any decisions made by the Board based on Mr. Ax being the owner of KwikMed and an online competitor and Mr. Marino sending a letter to the Division regarding objections to licensure of Secure Medical, Inc. He stated he is aware that most Boards do not meet with a full Board and if there is any vested interest in a situation most Board members will recuse themselves. He requested either Mr. Ax recuse himself or the Division disqualify him.

Mr. Stilling referred to the March 29, 2011 Board meeting regarding Mr. Ax acting as a Board member with his attorney Mr. Marino at hand where Mr. Ax repeatedly raised the issues from the letter Mr. Marino sent in opposition to the license of Secure Medical, Inc. and attempting to have the Board consider the arguments in Mr. Marino's letter. Mr. Stilling stated that Mr. Marino argued on behalf of KwikMed's position and passed notes to Mr. Ax as he sat as a public officer and decision-maker for processing Secure Medical, Inc's application. Mr. Stilling stated Mr. Ax has violated the ethics code for Board members and has acted contrary to Utah Law. He requested Mr. Ax be recused/disqualified from all future discussions of Secure Medical, Inc. business. Mr. Stilling referred to Utah Code 67-16-9, 67-16-4(c)(i-ii) and the Ethics Handbook at 17, C.3.

Mr. Steinagel responded Board members may recuse themselves or the Division may disqualify Board members. He stated in this instance he would not disqualify Mr. Ax as he was not convinced he has the authority as he was appointed by the same authority as Mr. Ax. Mr. Steinagel also stated the Board does not make the final determination regarding an application as they are advisory and are here today to make a recommendation regarding the online assessment tool and the Division will determine if the application is complete and meets licensing requirements. He stated the Department/Division have concern regarding public confidence in the process. Mr. Steinagel did acknowledge Mr. Stilling listed some valid points.

Mr. Ax responded he would not recuse himself but if he believed he was acting inappropriately he would. He stated his plan was to present the facts and let the Board make the decision as this Board is thoughtful when they make a recommendation.

Mr. Stilling asked if Mr. Ax would be willing to provide his input without voting. He stated the Secure Medical, Inc. objection still stands.

Mr. Ax did not respond.

Dr. Bair responded the Board has authority to determine if the online assessment is appropriate. He stated if the assessment tool is approved it does not mean the license is approved.

Mr. Stilling asked if today's meeting is to determine if the requested changes have been made.

Dr. Bair responded yes. He stated recusal is a personal choice and Mr. Ax has refused.

Dr. Bair stated Mr. Ax would remain in his position as a Board member.

He requested the meeting proceed.

Ms. Taxin stated the Division conducted a review of the Secure Medical, Inc. website and purchased a couple of prescriptions. She suggested Mr. Korsunsky start at the beginning, walk the Board through the site, point out the changes and finish by going through the purchases made by the Division.

Mr. Fitzpatrick noted the credit card information needed to be clarified regarding the credit card would not be charged until the Physician accepts and approves the order.

Mr. Carvalho responded the information has been included when the patient starts the process and then after completing the questionnaire.

Ms. Taxin and Dr. Bair asked about listing allergies the patient may have and vitamins the patient may be taking.

Mr. Carvalho responded the Physician will see the vitamins, allergies, any medical conditions listed and any medications listed when the order goes into the Physician's queue. He stated there will be a hard stop with the information highlighted in red.

Mr. Carvalho then moved into the Physician interface

and demonstrated the hard stop and red highlighted areas.

Dr. Bair asked if the patient is allowed to continue with the order if the patient checks the boxes indicating they have medical conditions and submit comments.

Mr. Korsunsky responded yes.

Mr. Carvalho stated once the Physician has verified allergies, vitamins, medical information and medications the Physician is ready to approve or deny the order.

Mr. Ax asked if the red highlighted areas for the Physician fill in automatically if the patient notes a medical condition and if the Physician makes contact with the patient to review and confirm.

Mr. Carvalho responded yes.

Mr. Korsunsky responded the Physician could contact the patient as the Physician would be unable to move forward to approve or reject the prescription until it is noted he/she is aware of the medical condition(s) of the patient. He stated this is a safety feature for the patient, Physician, Pharmacy and Secure Medical, Inc.

Mr. Stilling asked if no comment means the Physician has exercised their judgment.

Mr. Korsunsky responded yes.

Mr. Ax asked if the patient enters the type of nitro drugs they are taking and if in theory the prescription requested could be sent out to the patient if the patient checked off they were taking nitro drugs.

Dr. Rapp responded patients do enter the type of nitro drugs they are taking and he is very careful when reviewing the drugs being taken by the patients.

Mr. Carvalho responded the Physician could enter in

comments “approved based on telephone call” or “rejected based on telephone call”. He stated the Physician would need to enter notes regarding their assessment.

Mr. Carvalho moved on to the Pharmacist area and pointed out the Pharmacist sees the full information with the questionnaire and red highlighted areas in case the Pharmacist wants further clarification.

Mr. Ax asked if Secure Medical, Inc. will be using Mountain West Apothecary as the fulfillment Pharmacy.

Mr. Korsunsky responded yes, that is the Pharmacy they have proposed using.

Mr. Stilling responded the fulfillment site is not to be part of this discussion today.

Mr. Ax asked if the Board and Division were aware that thousands of packages have been shipped from Mountain West Apothecary over the last year and the shipments stopped this week.

Dr. Bair requested the Board focus on the assessment tool. He asked if the Pharmacist is able to add comments and if the Pharmacist is also able to reject an order.

Mr. Carvalho responded yes.

Ms. Taxin asked for an explanation of the process if the Pharmacist rejects a prescription.

Mr. Carvalho responded the information goes back to the Physician to re-review.

Dr. Bair requested the Board discuss approval of the assessment tool.

Mr. Fitzpatrick asked Dr. Bair what he would ask the patient if the patient documented angina and is requesting Viagra.

Dr. Bair explained he is an Emergency Physician and does not receive requests for Viagra but if he were a general practitioner he would refer the patient back to their cardiologist to assess.

Mr. Fitzpatrick asked if including dates of health issues would be helpful on the questionnaire.

Dr. Rapp responded when he contacts the patient he would then request dates of health issues and would also request recent documentation from the primary Physician prior to approving any prescription as he wants approval from the primary Physician that it is appropriate for the patient to be taking the requested medication. He stated about 40% of patients will comply with a request for additional information from the primary Physician while others will say they do not want to bother their Physician and the process stops at that point.

Dr. Bair requested a motion to approve the assessment tool. He asked if there were any concerns as it appears the tool does not compromise the public health, safety and welfare.

Mr. Fitzpatrick responded he is not a Physician and does not sit in on interviews with patients for medications of this type so he is unaware of questions that would be asked of patients.

Ms. Littlefield responded the questionnaire does not seem to have the detail she expected.

Mr. Ax commented the KwikMed questionnaire has more depth and breadth which allows for more detail when the patient answers questions.

Dr. Bair commented the Physician would be required to review and address those questions which are red highlighted. He stated the hard stop gives the Physician the point to interact with the patient to ask specific questions.

Mr. Stilling requested Mr. Marino to share his comments with the Board as he appears to be giving

Mr. Ax counsel.

Mr. Marino commented members of the public are invited to these meetings and he is not aware of anything to preclude the public from being heard or advising Mr. Ax regarding comments.

Mr. Stilling commented he would like clarification regarding what Mr. Marino and Mr. Ax were discussing.

Mr. Perry suggested Mr. Marino be careful in giving clarification and suggestions as the Board's counsel comes from the AG.

Mr. Marino responded his intention was not to give counsel to the Board but to his client, Mr. Ax.

Mr. Stilling commented it is inappropriate to have counsel during the meeting. He stated he believes it is a violation of the open meetings act.

Dr. Bair supported Mr. Perry's comments regarding Board counsel comes from the AG's office.

Dr. Bair again asked the Board if the Secure Medical, Inc. assessment tool meets the requirements.

Mr. Fitzpatrick responded the assessment tool is single dimensional but it appears the Physician will have red highlights which forces the Physician to do due diligence and ask questions of the patient. He stated the Division audit will document those red flags with the Physician's comments. He stated this is a change that was requested. He stated the previous applicant had branching questions where this applicant incorporates the Physician interaction into the process and leaves additional questions up to the Physician to ask.

Mr. Ax clarified his understanding that this assessment tool leads to red flags for the Physician to know there is something requiring his attention.

He stated he is not aware of any situation where a patient taking nitroglycerin would be prescribed Viagra. He stated he believes the KwikMed assessment tool is at a higher standard and Secure Medical, Inc.'s assessment tool is more bare bones and not what he expected.

Mr. Korsunsky requested Mr. Stilling to respond.

Mr. Stilling responded KwikMed and Secure Medical, Inc. made choices regarding how far to take automation and when to rely on the Physician to make contact with the patient and to make a professional judgment call. He asked if the Physician's judgment compromises the public health, safety and welfare by using their professional background and judgment. Mr. Stilling stated the Secure Medical, Inc. assessment tool reflects a more traditional medical practice.

Dr. Rapp responded he understands the experience with the prior questionnaire is a valuable yard stick for comparison but Secure Medical, Inc. believed they should work outside the box. He stated this simple system has red flag soft stops that will need addressing by the Physician.

Dr. Bair commented this assessment tool also has a review by the Pharmacist who checks off information and may reject the prescription.

Dr. Bair stated in the March 29, 2011 meeting the Board voiced concerns and made a motion to approve the application if specific changes were made. He stated Secure Medical, Inc. has made the requested eight (8) changes to the assessment tool.

Dr. Bair stated it would be appropriate for a Board member to make a motion to act on the application at this time.

Mr. Fitzpatrick voiced sharing Mr. Ax's concern regarding needing more questionnaire data information. He stated he has reflected on the volumes of information he needs to fill out as a Pharmacist which is much more extensive than the

Secure Medical, Inc. information. He again asked if dates of medical issues and a description should be included as it would give information initially for the Physician to make judgment calls. Mr. Fitzpatrick stated if the Board is approving any type of questionnaire they need to think about how it affects the patient and how it assists the Physician to protect the public.

Mr. Ax reminded the Board that the profession is under scrutiny in Utah as well as across the nation.

Mr. Stilling commented the Board needs to ask if Secure Medical, Inc. assessment tool is adequate to protect the patient.

Dr. Bair commented this assessment tool gathers most information. He suggested a place for dates of medical surgeries or issues to be included as interventions could be important. Dr. Bair stated a heart attack six (6) months ago is much different in assessing than one that took place twenty (20) years ago but he believes the Board should rely on the professional to obtain specific information.

Ms. Taxin suggested at some point the Board clarify the standards and list specific requirements in Rule.

Mr. Fitzpatrick commented he believes the practitioner should do their due diligence prior to the patient receiving any medication. He stated the patient and Physician information is now also available to the Pharmacist.

Mr. Marino remarked it is difficult to think as a Board member while being a public person. He stated he does have the benefit of some historical background and can say the patient information was the most important for KwikMed as their branching questionnaire is information driven as opposed to examination by a professional. He voiced surprise that the Board is willing to move the detailed information gathering off center stage. He stated KwikMed and Secure Medical, Inc. appear to have gotten into

competition regarding information. Mr. Marino stated KwikMed worked with the Division and Legislature for a number of years and the Secure Medical, Inc. assessment tool is a poor assessment as the internet process is not obtaining the personal patient data. He stated what KwikMed has done online is thought to be a high quality assessment. Mr. Marino stated the Board has been impressive to observe but to dumb down the assessment tool is a giant step backward. He stated he is interested as someone involved in assisting with putting online prescribing in motion and to hear the devaluation of the quality of the patient history is concerning.

Mr. Ax commented this Board needs to show the world there is a Physician consulting. He stated KwikMed sends a number of emails to be sure the patient is safe. He stated KwikMed has set the bar high and would like that standard to continue. He stated the role of the Board is to be consistent with the Statute but to hold the standard high.

Mr. Ross stated he has to rely on the medical people on the Board. He stated Secure Medical, Inc. submitted their application. He stated the Board reviewed the online assessment tool and requested them to make specific changes which they have done. He stated the Board is now changing their thoughts on the application. Mr. Ross stated the Statute outlined the standard and it is now the job of the Board to work within that standard.

Ms. Littlefield asked if Secure Medical, Inc. is prescribing to a specific age group.

Mr. Perry responded a specific age is listed in the questionnaire.

Ms. Korb commented she is comfortable with the Secure Medical, Inc. assessment tool. She stated she was comfortable with the KwikMed branching questionnaire detail also but they are different models.

Mr. Ax commented the full demonstration was not available at the last meeting.

Mr. Stilling commented Secure Medical, Inc. has a different model. He stated Mr. Ax has said “we created best practice”. He asked who “we” is. He stated this Board is not charged to determine what is an appropriate medical history but to determine if Secure Medical, Inc. has met the requirements of the Law and Rule to be issued a license.

Dr. Bair stated Mr. Ax did say they developed best practice but best practice has not been established. He again stated the Board requested Secure Medical, Inc. to make specific changes prior to approval of their assessment tool and those changes have been made. He voiced being uncomfortable giving Mr. Korsunsky an assignment and after it is completed to say he should do something else but does not know what else to have him do. He stated the Board now should decide if they are changing the plan or if they will do what they agreed to do. He stated setting new standards by Rule in the future will not apply to this applicant at this time as they have not been developed or passed yet. He stated Secure Medical, Inc. applied under the original Law and must meet that standard at this time.

Mr. Marino commented he was present at the March meeting and wondered if suggesting the Board meet in two (2) weeks to re-review and make a determination was appropriate. He stated there was difficulty obtaining a motion at the March meeting and it finally came down to rules of order. He stated what the Board is suggesting today is alarming and suggests there are legitimate concerns and by telling Mr. Korsunsky if they made specific changes they would be approved was inappropriate. He stated he believes the decision should be made after a more robust discussion. Mr. Marino stated the Board is charged to protect the public and yet refer to the history of this profession as not really being important. He stated if the Board approves today he believes it is not what the duty of the Board calls for.

Mr. Perry responded the minutes are more accurate of what was said in the March meeting and the Board needs to rely on those minutes. He stated in facilitating the application process the Board needs to make a decision.

Mr. Marino acknowledged Mr. Perry's point suggesting he misstated the record on page 16 of 20 of the March minutes regarding Mr. Fitzpatrick's motion to review the entire process.

Mr. Perry responded he was referring to Mr. Ross's motion.

Dr. Bair stated the responsibility of the Board is to decide to approve or not to approve and to state the reasons if not approving.

Mr. Ax commented there should be a broader discussion about the Secure Medical, Inc. company and this model in order to understand the positives and negatives. He stated the Board should understand the company they are doing business with and how they work as well as how KwikMed works.

Dr. Bair again reminded Mr. Ax of Mr. Steinagel's comment that the information Mr. Ax is referring to is not germane to the discussion and decision at this time. He stated Mr. Ax may speak with Mr. Steinagel later.

Dr. Bair made a motion to approve the Secure Medical, Inc. assessment tool as it has been presented today based on them making the changes requested in the March 29, 2011 Board meeting.

Ms. Korb seconded the motion.

Dr. Bair asked for discussion.

Mr. Fitzpatrick stated he believes the Board has been pushed into approving KwikMed and Secure Medical, Inc. without having set a standard. He

stated he does not know the process well enough to determine what the standard should be but the Board should consider requirements to be written in the Rules. He stated there should be more discussion with those in the industry to determine what that standard should be.

Dr. Bair stated he believes the Board should have the opportunity to review the audits and maybe pull information from those audits to assist with some language for the Rules.

Mr. Ax reminded the Board in the first meeting Dr. Bair brought up the need to set standards. He stated this is an integral process and the Board is learning what they want future applicants to present. He stated the issue today regarding the Secure Medical, Inc. assessment tool should not be approved just because the Board wants to get it over with.

Dr. Bair responded he did bring up the issue of setting standards at the first meeting. He stated the Board cannot expect applicants to meet a standard that is not yet in place.

Mr. Ax stated in approving the assessment tool presented today would be approving an unorganized assessment tool.

Mr. Korsunsky stated the licensing process is a process of evolution. He stated it appears Mr. Ax is trying to make a point that the decision today is a quick decision but Secure Medical, Inc. has been in the process of approval in Utah for over four (4) years. He stated as the process moves forward everyone should want the best standard. Mr. Korsunsky stated in one conversation with Ms. Taxin she had commented all applicants and the Board are still learning regarding this profession. He stated there are many opportunities in the process for reprimand or action. Mr. Korsunsky stated everyone is looking for input to make the model better and to ensure patient safety but the Board can only adhere to the standards which are in place at this time and work toward

making it better. He stated he has been working with Ms. Taxin for about a year and he resents the comment that the Secure Medical, Inc. assessment is only eight (8) questions to approve with a slam, bam, thank you ma'am approach.

Mr. Fitzpatrick asked if the Board would be approving Secure Medical, Inc. or APEX RX.

Ms. Taxin responded APEX RX is the website.

Mr. Perry responded the facilitator is Secure Medical, Inc.

Mr. Fitzpatrick asked why the Board has not seen at least two (2) other websites for comparison.

Ms. Taxin responded this is the only website approved for Utah.

Mr. Fitzpatrick asked if other sites will open in Utah once the Board approves Secure Medical, Inc.

Mr. Steinagel responded KwikMed also has another site. He stated the Division goes online to purchase prescriptions to research if there are other websites doing business in Utah that are not licensed. He stated the investigators also find out if the businesses are in compliance with the Online Prescribing, the Physicians and/or Pharmacy Practice Acts.

Mr. Ax explained KwikMed does have another site in England that ships only to Europe and not to the United States.

Mr. Fitzpatrick stated his question was if the Board approves Secure Medical, Inc. will they use other websites to sell their products in Utah.

Mr. Stilling responded Secure Medical, Inc. will only use Secure Medical, Inc., APEX RX website for selling medications in Utah as that is the only site approved for Utah. He stated it would violate the Law if they sell to Utah patients through other websites.

Mr. Steinagel stated the Board has the authority to recommend licensing actions to the Division and if the Division has any questions they come to the Board for clarification. He stated he has the authority to make the final decision but it is not the Division's practice. He reminded the Board of their recommendation to license KwikMed.

Mr. Ax asked if he could speak about Secure Medical, Inc.

Mr. Stilling asked if it is about topics he was requested not to discuss during this meeting.

Mr. Ax did not respond to Mr. Stilling. He referred the Board to AccessRX where there is a list of prescription drugs and stated this site is owned by Secure Medical, Inc. He stated this is a site that may or may not fill Utah medications. Mr. Ax stated APEX RX has multiple fulfillment sites and if they fill in Utah and send to other States then they are not practicing within the Utah Law. He stated Secure Medical, Inc. is responsible for selling drugs all over the United States. Mr. Ax stated KwikMed has always said if you are fulfilling drugs out of one State and shipping to other States you can simply go to other fulfillment centers. He stated when KwikMed started they came to Utah with one website and all traffic fulfillment is at one location at the Utah site. He stated shipping drugs across State lines with multiple fulfillment centers would give Secure Medical, Inc. the best of both worlds. Mr. Ax stated Secure Medical, Inc. cannot have total transparency of what they are doing around the country. He stated the affidavit portion of the application says you and all associated companies will fulfill through one fulfillment center. He stated the Utah AG's office is looking into this situation. He stated if the Board could have time to look at the Rules they could discuss some of these issues.

Dr. Bair responded Mr. Steinagel has given the Board counsel and it is not an issue for Board

discussion or to make a decision about. He recommended Mr. Ax speak with Mr. Steinagel and Mr. Perry directly.

Dr. Bair asked for a vote on the motion.

Ms. Korb, Mr. Ross and Dr. Bair voted in favor of the motion.

Ms. Littlefield, Mr. Ax and Mr. Fitzpatrick voted against the motion.

The vote was a tie.

The Board took a short break.

Upon returned from the break Dr. Bair requested a motion to reconsider the last motion to approve the Secure Medical, Inc. assessment tool.

Ms. Littlefield made a motion to reopen discussion and reconsider approving the Secure Medical, Inc. assessment tool.

Mr. Ross seconded the motion.

The Board vote was unanimous.

Mr. Fitzpatrick explained he requested a revote as his concerns are multiple websites and multiple drugs. He stated he has re-looked at the application and it specifically identifies APEX RX as the website and Mountain West Apothecary as the only fulfillment for Utah. He stated the Board and Division need to monitor the licensees for compliance and need to begin the process of expanding the Rules defining criteria to be met in order to be licensed.

Dr. Bair asked for a vote on the motion.

Ms. Littlefield, Mr. Fitzpatrick, Lt. Ross, Ms. Korb and Dr. Bair voted in favor of the motion that the assessment tool for Secure Medical, Inc. has met the criteria.

Mr. Ax did not vote.

The motion passed with a majority vote.

Dr. Bair asked if there were any Board members who abstained from voting.

Mr. Ax did not respond.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

Update on Pharmacy Fulfillment site Issue

Mr. Steinagel informed the Board that the Division and AG are dealing with this issue.

FYI

Ms. Taxin notified the Board of the Rules Hearing to add the approved Hydroquinone up to 4% and Tretinoin up to 0.1% as approved medications for online prescribing. She stated the hearing will be May 3, 2011 at 10:00 am in room 474. Ms. Taxin stated the Board is not required to attend Rule hearings as there is no per diem but are welcome.

The Board thanked Ms. Taxin for the information. No Board action was taken.

Board meetings

Mr. Fitzpatrick informed the Board/Division of a scheduling conflict and will be unable to attend the May 3, 2011 Board meeting. He recommended the Board meet on the next planned date of June 7, 2011 to discuss expectations of the assessment tool as that appeared to be the stumbling block on both applications. He stated other professions have standards laid out and this profession should also have guidelines for applicants as well as those who review.

Mr. Perry stated the Law requires the Board to review and approve the assessment tool so those will be presented to the Board.

Mr. Fitzpatrick stated with more specific Rules in place it would streamline the process.

Dr. Bair stated it was helpful for Mr. Ax and Mr. Korsunsky to submit the assessment tool to the

Board and be available for explanations. He stated it might be helpful for the Board to review the tool ahead of time to be prepared for the full Board review.

Ms. Taxin stated she will consider the request for future applications reviewed.

Mr. Fitzpatrick Question

Mr. Fitzpatrick asked if Mr. Ax's comments regarding other fulfillment centers and websites during the meeting were proprietary.

Ms. Taxin responded no. She stated the information is being researched by the Division and will be shared with the Board if appropriate.

2011 dates

The Board noted the Board meeting dates for the remainder of 2011 are as follows:
June 7, September 20 and December 6, 2011

NEXT MEETING SCHEDULED FOR:

June 7, 2011

ADJOURN:

The time is 12:03 pm and the Board meeting is adjourned.

Note: These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim transcript but are intended to record the significant features of the business conducted in this meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily shown in the chronological order they occurred.

June 15, 2011
Date Approved

(ss) Mark N. Bair, MD
Chairperson, Utah Online Prescribing, Dispensing and
Facilitation Licensing Board

May 4, 2011
Date Approved

(ss) Noel Taxin
Bureau Manager, Division of Occupational &
Professional Licensing