

## MINUTES

### UTAH ALARM SYSTEM SECURITY AND LICENSING BOARD MEETING

November 5, 2009

Room 475 09:00 AM  
Heber Wells Building  
160 East 300 South  
Salt Lake City, Utah

<b>CONVENED</b>	09:00 AM
<b>ADJOURNED</b>	09:46 AM
<b>BUREAU STAFF</b>	Dennis Meservy, <i>Bureau Manager</i> Yvonne King, <i>Board Secretary</i>
<b>BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT</b>	Larry Love, <i>Chair</i> Duff Astin Harold Weight Scott Sessions Justin Gray
<b>VISITORS</b>	Will Naegle, <i>Ut Burglar and Fire Alarm Assoc</i> Rich Goates, <i>Pinnacle Security</i>
<b>MINUTES</b>	Duff Astin made a motion to approve the September 2009 board meeting minutes as written. Justin Gray seconded the motion and all members voted in favor.
<b>INVESTIGATIONS</b>	The board noted investigations have been proactive in enforcing licensing regulation.
<b>INDUSTRY ISSUES</b>	
Low voltage Licensure	Will Naegle indicated there has “not” been further discussion involving him and others outside the alarm industry about the possibility of requiring licensing for low voltage electrical work. The licensing, if it is going to go anywhere, would require broad support. It was also important to identify and work with those that would be affected the most by such a licensing requirement.

Testing

The licensing applications that pertain to burglar alarm company agents presently indicate there are no endorsement or reciprocity agreements with other states. All applicants are required to test. Dennis Meservy indicated there are individuals that do not want to test and have requested licensure through endorsement. According to the board, they and industry were involved in the testing review process with PSI, the testing provider, and the information in the tests is basic information that all applicants should know. They as a board require all burglar alarm company qualifying agent applicants to take the required tests and are concerned about an applicant that does not want to test and/or is unable to pass the required examinations.

Pinnacle Security

Rich Goats indicated he was the senior licensing manager for Pinnacle Security. The company was striving to be a good player in the alarm industry and he was in the process of reviewing their licensing procedures. There was a concern about the amount of time it was taking the Federal Bureau of Investigations to process fingerprint cards. This placed them at a disadvantage from competitors that did not have the same standards they did when it came to licensing. The board suggested, for the present, the best option for Pinnacle was to have the fingerprints of their licensing applicants scanned at DOPL. The processing time was considerably shorter and there was no additional charge to the applicant to have their fingerprints scanned. The board also encouraged Pinnacle to report any licensing violations to investigations.

**All business concluded meeting adjourned**

*Note: This report is not intended to be a verbatim transcript, but is intended to record the significant features of what was discussed in the meeting. Discussed items are not necessarily arranged in chronological order.*

(ss) Larry Love Date: January 7, 2010  
Larry Love, Chair

(ss) Dennis Meservy Date: January 7, 2010  
Dennis Meservy, Bureau Manager